



Practitioner's Docket No. 2003-IP-010789U1

IPW
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Philip D. Nguyen et al.

Application No: 10 / 659,574

Group No.: 3676

Filed: 09/10/2003

Examiner: Suchfield, George A.

For: Methods for Enhancing The Consolidation Strength of Resin Coated Particulates

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BEFORE MAILING DATE OF EITHER A FINAL ACTION OR NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE (37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c))

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. 1.97: "(c) An information disclosure statement shall be considered by the Office if filed after the period specified in paragraph (b) of this section, provided that the information disclosure statement is filed before the mailing date of any of a final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under § 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and it is accompanied by one of:

- (1) The statement specified in paragraph (a) of this section; or
- (2) The fee set forth in § 1.17(p)."

NOTE: "If a final action or notice of allowance is mailed in an application and later withdrawn, the application will be considered as not having had a final action or notice of allowance mailed for purposes of considering an information disclosure statement." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703) _____

Date: 8/10/05

Signature

Tammy Knight
Tammy Knight

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.8(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement before Mailing Date of Either a Final Action Or Notice of Allowance [B-4]—page 1 of 3)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(d): "A paper containing only an information disclosure statement in compliance with §§ 1.97 and 1.98 will not be considered a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution (processing or examination) of the application under paragraphs (c)(4), (c)(5), (c)(6), or (c)(10) of this section if it is accompanied by a statement that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. This thirty-day period is not extendable."

NOTE: "If information submitted during the period set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.97(c) with a certification is used in a new ground of rejection on unamended claims, the next Office action will not be made final since in this situation it is clear that applicant has submitted the information to the office promptly after it has become known and the information is being submitted prior to a final determination on patentability by the Office. However, the information submitted with a certification can be used in a new ground of rejection and the next Office action made final, if the new ground of rejection was necessitated by amendment of the application by applicant. Where the information is submitted during this period with a fee, the examiner may use the information submitted, e.g., printed publication or evidence of public use, and make the next Office action final whether or not the claims have been amended, provided that no other new ground of rejection which was not necessitated by amendment to the claims is introduced by the examiner. See MPEP 708.07(a). If a new ground of rejection is introduced that is neither necessitated by an amendment to the claims nor based on the information submitted with the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p), the Office action shall not be made final." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 38).

WARNING: "A petition for suspension of action to allow applicant time to submit an information disclosure statement will be denied as failing to present good and sufficient reasons, since 37 C.F.R. § 1.97 provides adequate recourse for the timely submission of prior art for consideration by the examiner." Notice of July 6, 1992 (1141 O.G. 63). But see § 103(b) and (d), limited suspension of action in a continued prosecution application (CPA) filed under § 1.53(d) and in a request for continued examination (RCE) under § 1.114.

WARNING: No extension of time can be had under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (a) or (b) for filing an IDS. 37 C.F.R. 1.97(f).

TIME OF TRANSMITTAL OF ACCOMPANYING INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

1. The information disclosure statement transmitted herewith is being filed after three months of the filing date of this national application or the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application or after the mailing date of the first Office action on the merits, whichever event occurred last but before the mailing date of either:
 - (1) a final action under § 1.113 or
 - (2) a notice of allowance under § 1.311,whichever occurs first.

STATEMENT OR FEE

2. Accompanying this transmittal is
(check either A or B below)

A. a statement as specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

OR

B. the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under § 1.97(c). (\$180.00).

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement before Mailing Date of Either a Final Action Or Notice of Allowance. [8-4]—page 2 of 3)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FEE PAYMENT

(complete this item, if applicable)

3. Applicant elects the option to pay the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under § 1.97(c) (\$180.00).

Fee due \$ 180

METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FEE

4.

Attached is a check money order in the amount of \$ _____
 Authorization is hereby made to charge the amount of \$ 180 _____
 to Deposit Account No. 08-0300
 to Credit card as shown on the attached credit card information authorization form PTO-2038.

WARNING: Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public.

Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment in the manner authorized above.

A duplicate of this paper is attached.



SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No. 28,626

Robert A. Kent

(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125

P.O. Box 1431

P.O. Address

Customer No.:

Duncan, OK 73536-0440

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement before Mailing Date of Either a Final Action Or Notice of Allowance [8-4]—page 3 of 3)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PTO-1449

Application No.
10/659,574Applicant(s)
Philip D. NguyenInformation Disclosure Citation in an
MPEP ApplicationDocket Number
2003-IP-010789U1Group Art Unit
3676Filing Date
09/10/2003

AUG 15 2005

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| INIT. | DOCUMENT NO. | ISSUE/PUB. DATE | NAME | CLASS | SUBCLASS | FILING DATE |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| | 3,857,444 | 12/31/74 | Copeland | 166 | 276 | 02/02/73 |
| | 4,291,766 | 09/29/81 | Davies et al. | 166 | 276 | 06/02/80 |
| | 4,838,352 | 06/13/89 | Oberste-Padtberg et al. | 166 | 291 | 11/19/87 |
| | 4,842,072 | 06/27/89 | Friedman et al. | 166 | 295 | 07/25/88 |
| | 4,936,385 | 06/26/90 | Weaver et al. | 166 | 288 | 10/30/89 |
| | 5,547,023 | 08/20/96 | McDaniel et al. | 166 | 280 | 05/25/95 |
| | 5,712,314 | 01/27/98 | Surles et al. | 521 | 41 | 08/09/96 |
| | 6,152,234 | 11/28/00 | Newhouse et al. | 166 | 403 | 06/10/98 |
| | 6,543,545 B1 | 04/08/03 | Chatterji et al. | 166 | 381 | 10/27/00 |
| | US 2002/0070020 A1 | 06/13/02 | Nguyen | 166 | 295 | 12/08/00 |
| | US 2005/0045326 A1 | 03/03/05 | Nguyen | 166 | 278 | 08/26/03 |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| INIT. | DOCUMENT NO. | DATE | COUNTRY | CLASS | SUBCLASS | TRANSLATION | |
|-------|--------------|------|---------|-------|----------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | | Yes | No |
| | | | | | | | |

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

| INIT. | DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Pertinent Pages) | Date |
|-------|---|------|
| | | |

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to the applicant.